

Vaccines: The Week in Review

4 April 2011

Center for Vaccine Ethics & Policy

<http://centerforvaccineethicsandpolicy.wordpress.com/>

A program of

- Center for Bioethics, University of Pennsylvania
<http://www.bioethics.upenn.edu/>
- The Wistar Institute Vaccine Center
<http://www.wistar.org/vaccinecenter/default.html>
- Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Vaccine Education Center
<http://www.chop.edu/consumer/jsp/microsite/microsite.jsp>

This weekly summary targets news and events in global vaccines ethics and policy gathered from key governmental, NGO and industry sources, key journals and other sources. This summary supports ongoing initiatives of the Center for Vaccine Ethics & Policy, and is not intended to be exhaustive in its coverage. Vaccines: The Week in Review is now also posted in pdf form and as a set of blog posts at <http://centerforvaccineethicsandpolicy.wordpress.com/>. This blog allows full-texting searching of some 1,200 items.

Comments and suggestions should be directed to

*David R. Curry, MS
Editor and
Executive Director
Center for Vaccine Ethics & Policy
david.r.curry@centerforvaccineethicsandpolicy.org*

The Fourth IHR Review Committee meeting convened 28-30 March 2011 in Geneva. The meeting considered a preview document prepared by the Review Committee on the Functioning of the International Health Regulations (2005) in Relation to Pandemic (H1N1) 2009. Meeting materials include:

- [Agenda of the meeting pdf, 19kb](#)
- [Preview Report of the Review Committee pdf, 924kb](#)
- [Note from the Chairman of the Review Committee pdf, 15kb](#)

WHO Director-General Dr Margaret Chan responded to the preliminary assessment of WHO's handling of the influenza pandemic in remarks delivered at the fourth meeting of the Review Committee of the International Health Regulations on 28 March 2011.

[Excerpt]

"...For me, personally, as head of this agency, the assessment of the pandemic response needed to address two absolutely critical questions and to give everyone a firm answer.

First, did WHO make the right call? Was this a real pandemic or not?

Second, were WHO decisions, advice, and actions shaped in any way by ties with the pharmaceutical industry?

In other words, did WHO declare a fake pandemic in order to line the pockets of industry?

The document exonerates WHO on both counts.

Had the Committee identified shortcomings in either of these two areas, such findings would have raised grave questions about the Organization's neutrality, technical credibility, and integrity.

At no point does the report question the decision to declare a pandemic. As noted, evidence from early outbreaks led many experts at WHO and elsewhere to anticipate a potentially more severe pandemic than subsequently occurred.

As also noted, WHO did not rush to declare a pandemic, but did so only when fully satisfied that all criteria for doing so had been met.

As stated, "no critic of WHO has produced any direct evidence of commercial influence on decision-making." The Committee found "no evidence of attempted or actual influence by commercial interests on advice given to or decisions made by WHO." The report suggests that WHO responded with insufficient vigour to criticism that questioned its integrity. It is in this spirit that I am responding, hopefully with sufficient vigour, on these two specific points.

Ladies and gentlemen,

As the document clearly states: "The world is ill-prepared to respond to a severe influenza pandemic or to any similarly global, sustained and threatening public health emergency..."

http://www.who.int/dg/speeches/2011/ihr_review_20110328/en/index.html

Sabin Vaccine Institute convened the Global Colloquium on Sustainable Immunization Financing, held 28-29 March 2011, in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Sabin said that this first-ever high-level meeting, focused on sustainable immunization financing, brought together over 75 delegates representing ministries of health and finance and parliaments in 18 African, Asian and Latin American countries. Dr. Ciro de Quadros, Sabin Executive Vice President, commented, "We know that effective vaccination programs contribute to healthier, more productive societies. Immunization is one of the best investments a country can make. Our goal for each country we work with is to identify long-term sources of financing and assure a fiscally sustainable national immunization program." Sabin noted that as national immunization programs expand and new vaccines become available, increasing costs place strains on low-income countries, and that possible sustainable funding mechanisms include increased funding from current government revenues, development of decentralized immunization budgets and the creation of national immunization trust funds. To date, six of the countries attending the colloquium - Sierra Leone, Senegal, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Mali, Cambodia and Nepal - have achieved government budgetary increases for routine immunizations following targeted SIF advocacy efforts and nine countries are preparing new immunization legislation that will safeguard immunization funding, Sabin said.

The 18 countries participating in the colloquium include SIF's 15 pilot countries- Cambodia, Cameroon, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Ethiopia, Kenya, Liberia, Madagascar, Mali, Nepal, Nigeria, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Sri Lanka and Uganda-as well as Bolivia, Colombia and El Salvador. Funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the Sustainable Immunization Financing Program "has been working since 2007 to ensure accessibility and affordability of immunizations, an essential public health good, in each of its 15 pilot countries."

<http://sabin.org/news-resources/releases/2011/03/28/sabin-vaccine-institute-convenes-global-colloquium-sustainable-im>

WHO announced Immunization Week 2011, noting that for the first time countries across the WHO regions of Africa, Americas, Eastern Mediterranean, Europe and the Western Pacific are taking part in simultaneous immunization weeks. WHO said that starting on 23 April, "countries unite under the umbrella of immunization week and implement activities to raise awareness, inform and engage key audiences on the value, importance and challenges regarding immunization. These activities include dissemination of information, education and communication materials; and training sessions, workshops, exhibitions, press conferences and round-table discussions with political decision-makers." In addition, vaccination services such as tracking of unvaccinated people, implementing large-scale vaccination campaigns and using Child Health Days to deliver an integrated package of life-saving health interventions will take place. These health interventions include: providing vitamin A supplementation to boost children's immune systems; provision of de-worming medicine; growth monitoring; and distribution of insecticide-treated nets to prevent malaria.

http://www.who.int/immunization/newsroom/events/immunization_week_2011/en/index.html

The GAVI Alliance announced that "to further increase transparency of the oversight of its cash-based support it will make public when it suspends a cash programme to investigate possible misuse of funds." GAVI also announced that it has suspended funding to three cash-based programmes in Niger, Cote d'Ivoire, and Cameroon "after its oversight processes raised credible concerns about the use of funds in these programmes," and that it had earlier informed its Board about an investigation of two cash programmes in Mali last year, which is expected to be completed in May 2011. Investigations have recently commenced in Niger and Cameroon, and will follow in Cote d'Ivoire once the political situation in the country improves. The amount under investigation in the suspended programmes totals approximately \$18 million. The investigations "will determine how much, if any, of this has been misused." The governments of Mali, Niger and Cameroon are fully cooperating with the investigations. GAVI noted that "although some cash programmes have been halted, GAVI is ensuring that children in these countries continue to receive life-saving vaccines."

Helen Evans, GAVI interim CEO, said, "Our mission is to make sure life-saving vaccines reach even the poorest children and cash-support programmes help make that happen. But we do not tolerate any abuse of funds that puts children at risk."

Between 2000 and 2010, GAVI said it delivered US\$2.1 billion worth of vaccines and paid US\$672 million in cash grants. During this period, cash programmes equaled about 24% of total disbursements but have dropped to approximately 15% over the past two years. In 2009 and 2010, 45 countries received cash grants. Of these, 39 are subject to GAVI's financial management assessments. To date, 32 FMAs have been conducted, starting with those that are deemed to be of higher risk.

http://www.gavialliance.org/media_centre/statements/transparency.php

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services launched [Vaccines.gov](#) – “an innovative new website to help parents and other consumers learn about the most effective way to protect themselves and their children from infectious diseases and learn about immunization.” The new site — “brings together the best in federal resources on vaccine and immunizations to provide consumers with easy-to-understand health information specifically for their needs.” Vaccines.gov was described as “the first cross-government website devoted to providing consumer information about vaccines and immunization, combining content and expertise from agencies across the Department. It is the result of unprecedented collaboration among federal health and communications experts to offer online content about vaccine and immunization based on consumer needs.” <http://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20110330006388/en/HHS-Launches-Consumer-Focused-Immunization-Website>

The **Weekly Epidemiological Record (WER) for 1 April 2011**, vol. 86, 14 (pp 129–140) includes: Measles outbreaks and progress towards meeting measles pre-elimination goals: WHO African Region, 2009–2010; Performance of acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) surveillance and incidence of poliomyelitis, 2010
<http://www.who.int/entity/wer/2011/wer8614.pdf>

The MMWR for April 1, 2011 / Vol. 60 / No. 12 includes:

- [Tetanus Surveillance --- United States, 2001--2008](#)
- [Measles Outbreaks and Progress Toward Measles Preelimination --- African Region, 2009--2010](#)
- [Announcements: Autism Awareness Month --- April 2011](#)
- [Announcements: Epidemiology in Action: Intermediate Analytic Methods Course --- May 31--June 1, 2011](#)
- [Announcements: STD Awareness Month --- April 2011](#)

Twitter Watch

A selection of items of interest this week from a variety of twitter feeds. This capture is highly selective and by no means intended to be exhaustive.

[eurovaccine](#) ECDC Eurovaccine
ECDC commits to help EU Member States in reaching under-vaccinated populations
[#vaccine](http://bit.ly/hQ6nKf)

[usaid_info](#) USAID

Statement by Amie Batson, Deputy Assistant Administrator for Global Health, before the House Appropriations S... <http://1.usa.gov/hyMBQs>

[EndPolioNow](#) EndPolioNow

Rotarians have raised about US\$163.4 million for Rotary's \$200 Million Challenge to eradicate polio. <http://cot.ag/a5lkhN> [#endpolionow](#)

[GAVIAlliance](#) GAVI Alliance

News Update: GAVI Alliance steps up transparency - GAVI Alliance steps up transparency on oversight of its cash prog... <http://ow.ly/1bYQh6>

[IHME_UW](#) IHME at UW

Photos and video of presentations now available from the Global Health Metrics and Evaluation conference 2011! <http://ghme.org/>

[HHSGov](#) HHSGov

Check out the new <http://www.vaccines.gov/> - a one-stop shop to learn abt recommended vaccines, get local immunization info, and more.

[sabinvaccine](#) Sabin Vaccine Inst.

Today we kicked off our two-day Sustainable Immunization Financing Colloquium in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, read more: <http://ht.ly/4o6n4>

Journal Watch

[Editor's Note]

Vaccines: The Week in Review continues its weekly scanning of key journals to identify and cite articles, commentary and editorials, books reviews and other content supporting our focus on vaccine ethics and policy. ***Journal Watch is not intended to be exhaustive, but indicative of themes and issues the Center is actively tracking.*** We selectively provide full text of some editorial and comment articles that are specifically relevant to our work. Successful access to some of the links provided may require subscription or other access arrangement unique to the publisher. If you would like to suggest other journal titles to include in this service, please contact David Curry at: david.r.curry@centerforvaccineethicsandpolicy.org

Annals of Internal Medicine

March 15, 2011; 154 (6)

<http://www.annals.org/content/current>

[Reviewed earlier]

British Medical Bulletin

Volume 97 Issue 1 March 2011

<http://bmb.oxfordjournals.org/content/current>

[Reviewed earlier]

British Medical Journal

2 April 2011 Volume 342, Issue 7800

<http://www.bmj.com/content/current>

Editorial

The production of generic drugs in India

[James Love](#),

A new trade agreement with the EU would hinder access to drugs in developing countries

The European Union is negotiating a trade agreement with India, the consequences of which will be serious for billions of people living in developing countries. Government officials in India are focused on economic growth and are keen to complete a trade deal with the EU. In exchange for market access in other areas of the economy, the EU wants India, a country with very low per capita incomes, to embrace tough new rules on ownership and enforcement of intellectual property for medical inventions.

The negotiation is between two very different entities. The EU is now the world's largest economy; its gross domestic product (GDP) was estimated at more than \$16.4 trillion (£10.2 trillion; €11.8 trillion) in 2009—about 28% of the entire world's GDP [↑]. India has a large population—estimated at nearly 1.16 billion in 2009, or 17% of the world's population. This is also about the same as the population of Europe plus all other countries in the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development combined.

India's GDP was estimated at \$1.3 trillion in 2009, about 8% of the size of the EU economy. On a per capita basis, Indian incomes were 3.5% of those in Europe. At the bottom of the income distribution, the differences are even more stark. An estimated 317 million Indians live with incomes below the official poverty line—\$12 a month for urban areas and \$8 a month for rural areas.

In 1970, India eliminated patents on drug products. 1 This move enabled ...

Clinical Infectious Diseases

Volume 52 Issue 8 April 15, 2011

<http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/toc/cid/current>

Ruben O. Donis and Nancy J. Cox

Editorial Commentary: Prospecting the Influenza Hemagglutinin to Develop Universal Vaccines

Clin Infect Dis. (2011) 52(8): 1010-1012 doi:10.1093/cid/cir129

(See the article by Sui et al, on pages 1003–1009 .)

Influenza pandemics are among the most serious infectious threats to public health. The 1918–1919 influenza pandemic caused up to 500,000 deaths during a single influenza season in the United States, representing the most fatalities within such a short period in US public health history. The 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic caused much less mortality but nevertheless exposed important limitations of global pandemic preparedness. [1, 2, 3]. First, the 2009 H1N1 virus was not detected by animal health surveillance systems for >10 years, exposing the inadequacy of current surveillance for viruses in animals that have pandemic potential for humans [4]. Second, the emergence of a pandemic virus with the same HA and NA subtypes as viruses that had

been circulating in humans for decades (seasonal H1N1 virus) underscored the need to better understand immunity to emerging influenza viruses in the human population [4]. Finally, the 2009 H1N1 pandemic exposed our current inability to develop, manufacture, deliver, and administer billions of doses of vaccine directed against a newly emerging virus in the 4 months or less that would have been required to mitigate the impact of the spread of the 2009 H1N1 virus. [5]. Thus, several strategic changes in pandemic vaccine preparedness plans have been recommended [6]. The proposed changes are costly and often not affordable for low- and middle-income countries. Thus, sustainable long-term solutions will require the development of novel immunization strategies. The concept of a universal influenza vaccine that would elicit protection against a broad ...

Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation

(accessed 3 April 2011)

<http://www.resource-allocation.com/>

[No relevant content]

Emerging Infectious Diseases

Volume 17, Number 4–April 2011

<http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/EID/index.htm>

Research

Carriage of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* 3 Years after Start of Vaccination Program, the Netherlands

J. Spijkerman et al.

Abstract

To evaluate the effectiveness of the 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV7) program, we conducted a cross-sectional observational study on nasopharyngeal carriage of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* 3 years after implementation of the program in the Netherlands. We compared pneumococcal serotypes in 329 prebooster 11-month-old children, 330 fully vaccinated 24-month-old children, and 324 parents with age-matched pre-PCV7 (unvaccinated) controls (ages 12 and 24 months, n = 319 and n = 321, respectively) and 296 of their parents. PCV7 serotype prevalences before and after PCV7 implementation, respectively, were 38% and 8% among 11-month-old children, 36% and 4% among 24-month-old children, and 8% and 1% among parents. Non-PCV7 serotype prevalences were 29% and 39% among 11-month-old children, 30% and 45% among 24-month-old children, and 8% and 15% among parents, respectively; serotypes 11A and 19A were most frequently isolated. PCV7 serotypes were largely replaced by non-PCV7 serotypes. Disappearance of PCV7 serotypes in parents suggests strong transmission reduction through vaccination.

Policy Reviews

Should Remaining Stockpiles of Smallpox Virus (*Variola*) Be Destroyed?

R.S. Weinstein

Abstract

In 2011, the World Health Organization will recommend the fate of existing smallpox stockpiles, but circumstances have changed since the complete destruction of these cultures was first proposed. Recent studies suggest that variola and its experimental surrogate, vaccinia, have a remarkable ability to modify the human immune response

through complex mechanisms that scientists are only just beginning to unravel. Further study that might require intact virus is essential. Moreover, modern science now has the capability to recreate smallpox or a smallpox-like organism in the laboratory in addition to the risk of nature re-creating it as it did once before. These factors strongly suggest that relegating smallpox to the autoclave of extinction would be ill advised.

Health Affairs

March 2011; Volume 30, Issue 3

<http://content.healthaffairs.org/content/30/2.toc>

[Reviewed earlier; No relevant content]

Health Economics, Policy and Law

Volume 6 - Issue 02

<http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayJournal?jid=HEP>

[Reviewed earlier; No relevant content]

Human Vaccines

Volume 7, Issue 4 April 2011

<http://www.landesbioscience.com/journals/vaccines/toc/volume/7/issue/2/>

SHORT REPORT

[Development of a survey to identify vaccine-hesitant parents: The parent attitudes about childhood vaccines survey](#)

Douglas J. Opel, Rita Mangione-Smith, James A. Taylor, Carolyn Korfiatis, Cheryl Wiese, Sheryl Catz and Diane P. Martin

Objective: To develop a survey to accurately assess parental vaccine hesitancy.

Methods: An iterative process was used to develop the survey. First, we reviewed previous studies and surveys on parental health beliefs regarding vaccination to develop content domains and draft initial survey items. Focus groups of parents and pediatricians generated additional themes and survey items. Six immunization experts reviewed the items in the resulting draft survey and ranked them on a 1-5 scale for significance in identifying vaccine-hesitant parents (5 indicative of a highly significant item). The lowest third of ranked items were dropped. The revised survey was pretested with 25 parents to assess face validity, usability, and item understandability.

Results: The initial survey contained 17 items in 4 content domains: (1) immunization behavior; (2) beliefs about vaccine safety and efficacy; (3) attitudes about vaccine mandates and exemptions; and (4) trust. Focus group data yielded an additional 10 survey items. Expert review of the survey resulted in the deletion of 9 of 27 items and revisions to 11 of the remaining 18 survey items. Parent pretesting resulted in the deletion of 1 item, the addition of 1 item, the revision of 4 items, and formatting changes to enhance usability. The final survey contains 18 items in the original 4 content domains.

Conclusions: The Parent Attitudes about Childhood Vaccines survey was constructed using qualitative methodology to identify vaccine-hesitant parents and has content and face validity. Further psychometric testing is needed.

Cost of pneumococcal infections and cost-effectiveness analysis of pneumococcal vaccination at risk adults and elderly in Turkey

Open Access Article

Levent Akin, Mehmet Kaya, Serdar Altinel and Laure Durand

Pneumococcal infections have a substantial burden in Turkey, particularly in the elderly (>60 years) and at-risk adults (18–59 years). VCR are low at approximately 2%. The first aim of this study was the evaluation of the burden of pneumococcal infections (pneumonia and bacteremia) from a public payer perspective in elderly and at-risk adults. The second aim was the evaluation of cost effectiveness of implementing a large PPV program in these populations. A decision tree model was employed using demographic and epidemiological input obtained from Turkish official sources and international literature. Vaccination was assumed to protect for 5 years with 60% and 50% effectiveness against BPP in elderly and at-risk adults respectively. Vaccination effectiveness of 21% against NBPP was assumed for both populations. Costs input were obtained from a previous study conducted between 2002 and 2008 in a public university hospital in Ankara, Turkey. Univariate sensitivity analyses and Monte-Carlo simulations were performed. The vaccination program was cost effective and cost saving compared to no vaccination, pneumococcal vaccination with 60% coverage led to a mean of 4,695 LYG in the elderly and 2,134 LYG in at-risk adults with 40% coverage. Mean incremental savings reached 45.4 million YTL in the elderly and 21.8 million YTL in at-risk adults. This analysis suggests that pneumococcal vaccination of elderly and at-risk adults is associated with a positive return on investment from a public payer perspective and supports the continued recommendation of pneumococcal vaccines, as well as their full funding in Turkey.

Immunogenicity and safety of an indigenously manufactured reconstituted pentavalent (DTwP-HBV+Hib) vaccine in comparison with a foreign competitor following primary and booster immunization in Indian children

Hitt J. Sharma, Sangita Yadav, Sanjay K. Lalwani, Subhash V. Kapre, Suresh S. Jadhav, Anita Chakravarty, Sameer S. Parekh, Sonali Palkar, Subodh H. Bhardwaj, Gajanan S. Namjoshi and Vikas Verma

Objective: An open label, controlled clinical study was conducted in Indian infants aged 6-14 weeks to compare the immunogenicity and safety of a reconstituted pentavalent vaccine (DTwP-HBV+Hib) of Serum Institute of India Ltd (SIIL) with TritanrixHB+Hiberix vaccine of Glaxo Smithkline (GSK).

Methods: Eligible infants were randomized to receive three doses of the study / comparator vaccine. The vaccines were reconstituted prior to administration, by mixing DTwP-HBV (liquid) with the Hib (lyophilized) vaccine. IgG antibody titres were assessed by ELISA at baseline and after one month following the 3-dose primary immunization schedule. Safety was evaluated after each dose. Further, safety and immunogenicity was also evaluated following a booster dose in the same cohort of children (aged between 15-24 months).

Setting: Tertiary-care hospitals in India

Important outcome measures: Immunogenicity and safety following a 3-dose primary vaccination series and a booster vaccination.

Results: Post-primary immunization, 100% seroprotection was noted for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Hepatitis B and PRP-Hib components in both the vaccine groups. For pertussis, response was 96.1% in SIIL and 95.4% in GSK group. The overall safety profile as well as persistence of antibodies against all vaccine components up to the time of booster

immunization was comparable between the SIIL and GSK groups. A marked rise of all antibody concentrations indicated effective priming. The booster dose was safe, well tolerated with a significant increase in antibody concentrations of all the vaccine antigens in both the groups.

Conclusion: DTwP-HBV+Hib vaccine of SIIL was found to be safe and immunogenic. This Indian vaccine compared well with the licensed vaccine and is a cost-effective alternative for incorporating into the immunization schedule of various countries so as to control worldwide Hepatitis B and Hib infections.

Commentaries

Provenge: Revolutionary technology or ethical bust?

Justin B. Dickerson

Sipuleucel-T (known by the trade name, "Provenge") is the first prostate cancer vaccine approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and represents a new type of cancer therapy termed, Autologous Cellular Immunotherapy (ACT). This therapy has been described as a revolution in technology by clinicians and researchers alike. However, policy-makers and health economists question the efficacy of such treatment given its costs, while mainstream media often bemoan Provenge as yet another example of a healthcare system gone awry. This paper examines the debate for and against Provenge, and discusses why Medicare adoption of payment protocols for the vaccine may violate the egalitarian and feminist principles of distributive justice theory. The paper also acknowledges the larger context of the Provenge debate within the bioethical community; that is, how much should society be willing to invest to prevent death? The paper concludes by arguing for a more thorough ethical review of such new technologies by policy-makers prior to the adoption of funding protocols.

JAMA

March 23/30, 2011, Vol 305, No. 12, pp 1165-1256

<http://jama.ama-assn.org/current.dtl>

[Reviewed last week]

Journal of Infectious Diseases

Volume 203 Issue 9 May 1, 2011

<http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/toc/jid/current>

[No relevant content]

The Lancet

Apr 02, 2011 Volume 377 Number 9772 Pages 1125 - 1210

<http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/issue/current>

[No relevant content]

The Lancet Infectious Disease

Apr 2011 Volume 11 Number 4 Pages 253 - 332

<http://www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/issue/current>

Towards a conceptual framework to support one-health research for policy on emerging zoonoses

Richard Coker, Jonathan Rushton, Sandra Mounier-Jack, Esron Karimuribo, Pascal Lutumba, Dominic Kambarage, Dirk U Pfeiffer, Katharina Stärk, Mark Rweyemamu
Summary

In the past two decades there has been a growing realisation that the livestock sector was in a process of change, resulting from an expansion of intensive animal production systems and trade to meet a globalised world's increasing demand for livestock products. One unintended consequence has been the emergence and spread of transboundary animal diseases and, more specifically, the resurgence and emergence of zoonotic diseases. Concurrent with changes in the livestock sector, contact with wildlife has increased. This development has increased the risk of transmission of infections from wildlife to human beings and livestock. Two overarching questions arise with respect to the real and perceived threat from emerging infectious diseases: why are these problems arising with increasing frequency, and how should we manage and control them? A clear conceptual research framework can provide a guide to ensure a research strategy that coherently links to the overarching goals of policy makers. We propose such a new framework in support of a research and policy-generation strategy to help to address the challenges posed by emerging zoonoses.

Medical Decision Making (MDM)

March/April 2011; 31 (2)

<http://mdm.sagepub.com/content/current>

[Reviewed earlier]

Nature

Volume 471 Number 7340 pp547-672 31 March 2011

http://www.nature.com/nature/current_issue.html

Nature Medicine

March 2011, Volume 17 No 3

<http://www.nature.com/nm/index.html>

[Reviewed earlier; No relevant content]

New England Journal of Medicine

March 31, 2011 Vol. 364 No. 13

<http://content.nejm.org/current.shtml>

Perspective

Teaching Clinicians about Drugs — 50 Years Later, Whose Job Is It?

J. Avorn

[Free Full Text]

The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal

April 2011 - Volume 30 - Issue 4

<http://journals.lww.com/pidj/pages/currenttoc.aspx>

[Reviewed last week]

Pediatrics

April 2011 / VOLUME 127 / ISSUE 4

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/current.shtml>

[No relevant content]

Pharmacoeconomics

April 1, 2011 - Volume 29 - Issue 4 pp: 269-359

<http://adisonline.com/pharmacoeconomics/pages/currenttoc.aspx>

[Reviewed last week]

Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes News

April 2, 2011 - Volume - Issue 625 pp: 1-11

<http://adisonline.com/pecnews/pages/currenttoc.aspx>

[No abstracts available]

[TRIPS flexibilities should help improve access to antiretrovirals](#)

PharmacoEconomics & Outcomes News. (625):4, April 2, 2011.

[Vaccine sustainability in resource-poor countries](#)

PharmacoEconomics & Outcomes News. (625):9, April 2, 2011.

PLoS Medicine

(Accessed 27 March 2011)

http://medicine.plosjournals.org/perlserv/?request=browse&issn=1549-1676&method=pubdate&search_fulltext=1&order=online_date&row_start=1&limit=10&document_count=1533&ct=1&SESSID=aac96924d41874935d8e1c2a2501181c#results

[Towards Open and Equitable Access to Research and Knowledge for Development](#)

Leslie Chan, Barbara Kirsop, Subbiah Arunachalam Essay, published 29 Mar 2011

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001016

Summary Points

Unequal access to and distribution of public knowledge is governed by Northern standards and is increasingly inappropriate in the age of the networked “Invisible College”.

Academic journals remain the primary distribution mechanism for research findings, but commercial journals are largely unaffordable for developing countries; local journals—more relevant to resolving problems in the South—are near-invisible and undervalued.

Donor solutions are unsustainable, are governed by markets rather than user needs, and instill dependency.

Open access is sustainable and research driven and builds independence and the capacity to establish a strong research base; it is already converting local journals to international journals.

However, as open access becomes the norm, standards for the assessment of journal quality and relevance remain based on Northern values that ignore development needs and marginalise local scholarship.

Science

1 April 2011 vol 332, issue 6025, pages 1-132

<http://www.sciencemag.org/current.dtl>

[No relevant content]

Science Translational Medicine

30 March 2011 vol 3, issue 76

<http://stm.sciencemag.org/content/current>

Commentary - Therapeutics Discovery

The Precompetitive Space: Time to Move the Yardsticks

Thea Norman, Aled Edwards, Chas Bountra, and Stephen Friend

30 March 2011: 76cm10

Abstract

Industry, government, patient advocacy groups, public funders, and academic thought leaders met in Toronto, Canada, to set into motion an initiative that addresses some of the scientific and organizational challenges of modern therapeutics discovery. What emerged from the meeting was a public-private partnership that seeks to establish proof of clinical mechanism (POCM) for selected "pioneer" disease targets using lead compounds—all accomplished in the precompetitive space. The group will reconvene in April 2011 to create a business plan that specifies the generation of two positive POCM results per year.

Vaccine

<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/0264410X>

Volume 29, Issue 16 pp. 2823-3092 (5 April 2011)

Editorial

Why not destroy the remaining smallpox virus stocks?

Pages 2823-2824

J. Michael Lane, Gregory A. Poland

Short Communications

Effectiveness of age-based strategies to increase influenza vaccination coverage among high risk subjects in Madrid (Spain)

Pages 2840-2845

Rodrigo Jiménez-García, Cristina Rodríguez-Rieiro, Valentín Hernández-Barrera, Ana Lopez de Andres, Agustin Rivero Cuadrado, Angel Rodriguez Laso, Pilar Carrasco-Garrido

Abstract

We aim to assess the effectiveness of age-based strategies to increase influenza vaccination coverage among high risk subjects. To do so, we describe and compare the

influenza vaccination coverage in the 2006/2007 campaign between the Autonomous Community of Madrid (ACM), where in year 2005 the recommendation was extended by 5 years to cover all those aged 60 and over, and other regions of Spain where the universally recommended age was 65 years and above.

We used individualized secondary data provided by two surveys carried out in 2007 in ACM and in the rest of Spain. The total number of subjects included in the study was 21,948. For the 60–64 years age group influenza vaccination coverage was significantly higher 40.1% (CI 95% 36.4–43.8) in ACM residents than among residents in the Rest of Spain 29.1% (CI 95% 24.5–33.7). The difference in vaccine uptake was even greater, 59% (CI 95% 51.8–66.2) vs. 43.5% (CI 95% 34.3–52.7), when we compared subjects who suffered a chronic condition, which represents an indication for the anti-influenza vaccination. The results of the multivariate analysis show that the probability of a subject aged 60–64 living in ACM of being vaccinated was almost two times higher (OR 1.95 CI 95% 1.46–2.61) than a person of the same age who lived in a region of Spain where the universal recommendation for influenza vaccine started at 65 years.

In conclusion, the available evidence indicates the effectiveness of age-based strategies to increase influenza vaccination coverage among high risk subjects aged 60–64 years in our population.

[Implementation of mandatory immunisation of healthcare workers: Observations from New South Wales, Australia](#)

Original Research Article

Pages 2895-2901

Charles Helms, Julie Leask, Spring Cooper Robbins, Maria Yui Kwan Chow, Peter McIntyre

Abstract

Objective

To identify factors influencing implementation of a state-wide mandatory immunisation policy for healthcare workers (HCWs) in New South Wales (NSW), Australia, in 2007. Vaccines included were measles, mumps, rubella, varicella, hepatitis B, diphtheria, tetanus and pertussis, but not influenza.

Methods

We evaluated the first 2 years of this policy directive in 2009. A qualitative study was conducted among 4 stakeholder groups (the central health department, hospitals, health professional associations, and universities). 58 participants were identified using maximum variation sampling and data were analysed using a hierarchical thematic framework. Quantitative data on policy compliance were reviewed at the regional level.

Results

Success in policy implementation was associated with effective communication, including support of clinical leaders, provision of free vaccine, access to occupational health services which included immunisation, and appropriate data collection and reporting systems. Achieving high vaccine uptake was more challenging with existing employees and with smaller institutions.

Conclusion

These findings may apply to other jurisdictions in Australia or internationally considering mandatory approaches to HCW vaccination.

[Workplace efforts to promote influenza vaccination among healthcare personnel and their association with uptake during the 2009 pandemic influenza A \(H1N1\)](#)

Original Research Article

Pages 2978-2985

Katherine Harris, Jürgen Maurer, Carla Black, Gary Euler, Srikanth Kadiyala

Abstract

Background

Survey data suggest that, in a typical year, less than half U.S. healthcare personnel (HCP) are vaccinated for influenza. We measured workplace efforts to promote influenza vaccination among HCP in the U.S. and their association with seasonal and pandemic vaccination during the 2009–10 influenza season.

Methods

Self-reported survey data collected in June 2010 from eligible HCP ($n = 1714$) participating in a nationally representative, online research panel. HCP eligible for participation in the survey were those reporting as patient care providers and/or working in a healthcare setting. The survey measured workplace exposure to vaccination recommendations, vaccination requirements, on-site vaccination, reminders, and/or rewards, and being vaccinated for seasonal or H1N1 influenza.

Results

At least two-thirds of HCP were offered worksite influenza vaccination; about one half received reminders; and 10% were required to be vaccinated. Compared to HCP in other work settings, hospital employees were most ($p < 0.001$) likely to be the subject to efforts to promote vaccination. Vaccination requirements were associated with increases in seasonal and pandemic vaccination rates of between 31 and 49% points ($p < 0.005$). On-site vaccination was associated with increases in seasonal and pandemic vaccination of between 13 and 29% points ($p < 0.05$). Reminders and incentives were not associated with vaccination.

Conclusions

Our findings provide empirical support for vaccination requirements as a strategy for increasing influenza vaccination among HCP. Our findings also suggest that making influenza vaccination available to HCP at work could increase uptake and highlight the need to reach beyond hospitals in promoting vaccination among HCP.

[Intussusception following rotavirus vaccine administration: Post-marketing surveillance in the National Immunization Program in Australia](#)

Original Research Article

Pages 3061-3066

J.P. Buttery, M.H. Danchin, K.J. Lee, J.B. Carlin, P.B. McIntyre, E.J. Elliott, R. Booy, J.E. Bines and for the PAEDS/APSU Study Group

Abstract

Introduction

In Australia, post-marketing surveillance for intussusception following vaccination commenced with funding of RotaTeq® and Rotarix® vaccines under the National Immunization Program (NIP) in July 2007.

Methods

Two active surveillance mechanisms (hospital-based case ascertainment and monthly reports from paediatricians) identified intussusception cases between 1st July 2007 and 31st December 2008 in four states. Linkage to vaccination records identified cases occurring within 1–7 and 1–21 days of rotavirus vaccination. Expected cases within the post-vaccination windows were calculated by applying rates of intussusception from national hospitalisation data over 6 years (mid-2000 to mid-2006), by age and state, to

numbers vaccinated (by dose) according to the Australian Childhood Immunization Register.

Results

Combining exposure windows associated with all doses of rotavirus vaccine from 1 to 9 months of age, there was no evidence of an increased risk of intussusception following vaccination for either vaccine. However, in infants 1 to <3 months of age, there was suggestive evidence of excess intussusception cases 1–7 and 1–21 days following dose 1 (1–7 days: RotaTeq® relative risk (RR) = 5.3, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.1, 15.4; Rotarix® RR 3.5, 95% CI 0.7, 10.1; 1–21 days: RotaTeq® RR 3.5, 95% CI 1.3, 7.6; Rotarix® RR 1.5, 95% CI 0.4, 3.9). There was no evidence that clinical outcome of intussusception occurring within 21 days of rotavirus vaccination differed from that in cases occurring later post-vaccination.

Conclusion

Although we found no overall increase in intussusception following receipt of rotavirus vaccine, there was some evidence of an elevated risk following the first dose of both vaccines. Larger population-based studies using linked databases are required to provide more definitive evidence.

Value in Health

December 2010 Volume 13, Issue 8 Pages 863–1065

<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/vhe.2010.13.issue-8/issuetoc>

[Reviewed earlier]